



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/591,199

06/13/2007

Zhao Yi Wang

180.00120101

4302

26813

7590

07/23/2009

MUETING, RAASCH & GEBHARDT, P.A.

P.O. BOX 581336

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55458-1336

EXAMINER

SHAFFER, SHULAMITH H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1647

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/23/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/591,199	Applicant(s) WANG, ZHAO YI	
	Examiner SHULAMITH H. SHAFER	Art Unit 1647	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SHULAMITH H. SHAFER. (3) Christopher Gram.

(2) Lorraine Spector. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-16,29-38 and 52.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rosen et al. USPGPUB 2007/0015271.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: 112, 2nd rejection of Claim 29 was discussed. Examiners and Attorney discussed how proposed claim language may read on a patentably distinct invention. The rejection of the claims under 102(e) as being anticipated by Rosen et al was discussed. Possible amendments to the claims and the possibility of a product by process claims to overcome the outstanding art rejection were discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Shulamith H. Shafer/ Examiner, Art Unit 1647	
--	--